IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 464 of 1994

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.R.BRAHMBHATT

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

RAMESH C RANA - Petitioner(s)

Versus

SALE TAX COMMISSIONER & 1 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :

MR VB GHARANIYA for Petitioner : 1,
Mr. K.P. Raval, Ld. AGP for Respondents : 1 - 2.

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.R.BRAHMBHATT

Date : 16/02/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

1.On the last occasion, i.e., on 30/01/2006, following order was passed.

> “ Matter was called out thrice, none has remained present for the petitioner. Ordinarily, the matter would have been dismissed for non appearance on behalf of the petitioner, however, in the interest of justice, in order to accord an opportunity to the petitioner, this court is inclined to adjourn the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned. “

2. Today also the matter was called out thrice. No one has appeared for the petitioner. In view of this, this Court is left with no alternative but to dismiss the same for want of prosecution. Accordingly the petition is dismissed. Rule is discharged. Interim relief if any shall stand vacated. No order as to costs.

[S.R. BRAHMBHATT, J]

/vgn